

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
NOV 18 2004

PATENT
P56635

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

DOO-HWAN CHUN

Serial No.: 10/083,484

Examiner: TRIEU, VAN THANH

Filed: 27 February 2002

Art Unit: 2636

For: MULTICHANNEL IMAGE PROCESSOR AND SECURITY SYSTEM
EMPLOYING THE SAME

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Commissioner for Patents

P.O.Box 1450


Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Examiner Van Thanh TRIEU

Sir:

I hereby certify that, on 18 November 2004, this correspondence, Request for Clarification and Restart of Period for Response, is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office (Facsimile No. 703-872-9306).

Respectfully submitted,



Robert E. Bushnell

Attorney for the Applicant

Registration No. 27,774

1522 "K" Street, N.W., Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20005-1202

Tel: (202) 408-9040

Fax: (202) 289-7100

Folio: P56635

Date: 11/18/04

I.D.: REB/kf

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 18 2004

PATENT
P56635

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

DOO-HWAN CHUN

Serial No.: 10/083,484

Examiner: TRIEU, VAN THANH

Filed: 27 February 2002

Art Unit: 2636

For: MULTICHANNEL IMAGE PROCESSOR AND SECURITY SYSTEM
EMPLOYING THE SAME

REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND
RESTART OF PERIOD FOR RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O.Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Facsimile No.: (703) 872-9306
Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests clarification relative to the second Office action mailed on 15 September 2004 (Paper No. 20040907), and further requests that the period for response be restarted as of the date of mailing of the clarifying communication.

Folio: P56635
Date: 11/18/04
I.D.: REB/JGS/kf

PATENT
P56635**REMARKS**

For the reason stated below, Applicant requests clarification relative to the Office action mailed on 15 September 2004 (Paper No. 20040907) in this application.

The Office Action Summary (paragraph 6) indicates that all claims (claims 1 thru 38) are rejected. However, the "DETAILED ACTION" does not state a statutory basis or any detailed reasons for rejection of dependent claim 25. Clarification as to claim 25 is requested.


The clarification now requested was requested in the Supplement to Request for Clarification and Restart of Period for Response filed on 22 July 2004, and in the telephone conference with the Examiner on 26 August 2004.

In conclusion, it is requested that the Examiner provide clarification relative to the matter discussed above, and it is also requested that the period for responding to the Office action (Paper No. 20040907) be restarted as of the date of mailing of the clarifying communication.

PATENT
P56635

If there are any questions, the Examiner is requested to telephone Applicant's attorney
at the below listed local telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert E. Bushnell,
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No.: 27,774

1522 "K" Street N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 408-9040

Folio: P56635
Date: 11/18/04
I.D.: REB/JGS